

Chichester District Council Planning Committee

Wednesday 08 November 2023

Report of the Director Of Planning and Environment Services Schedule of

Planning Appeals, Court and Policy Matters

between 13-09-2023 - 17-10-2023

This report updates Planning Committee members on current appeals and other matters. It would be of assistance if specific questions on individual cases could be directed to officers in advance of the meeting.

Note for public viewing via Chichester District Council website

To read each file in detail, including the full appeal decision when it is issued, click on the reference number (NB certain enforcement cases are not open for public inspection, but you will be able to see the key papers via the automatic link to the Planning Inspectorate).

* = Committee level decision

1. NEW APPEALS (Lodged)

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01918/FUL</u>	
Birdham Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Birdham Straight House Main Road Birdham West Sussex PO20 7HS Removal of single storey sunroom to existing house and construction of 5 no. two storey houses together with garages, parking and revised access arrangements.
<u>23/00788/FUL</u>	
Earnley Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Outbuilding South Of 101 First Avenue First Avenue Almodington Batchmere West Sussex Construction of detached workshop building along with associated hard standing, fence and landscaping.
<u>23/01373/FUL</u>	
Earnley Parish Case Officer: Eleanor Midlane-Ward Written Representation	Land Rear Of 114 Second Avenue Batchmere Chichester West Sussex PO20 7LF Retrospective application for 1 no. tennis court and associated fencing.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/02347/DOM</u>	
Fishbourne Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Fast Track Appeal	Linden Lea 49 Salthill Road Fishbourne West Sussex PO19 3QD Demolition of existing attached garden store. Construction of a two storey side extension and lean-to, and associated works. Replacement garden store/garage (revision to permitted 20/01576/DOM - revision of roof design).
<u>22/02372/FUL</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Old School House Vicarage Hill Loxwood West Sussex RH14 0RG Demolition of the Old School House. Construction of 3 no. dwellings with car parking and alterations to vehicle access.
<u>23/00815/FUL</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Vicki Baker Written Representation	Land At Loxwood Hall West Guildford Road Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0QP Erection of a detached dwelling.

2. DECISIONS MADE

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
22/02589/DOM	
Earnley Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Fast Track Appeal	Sandalwood Almodington Lane Almodington Earnley West Sussex PO20 7JX Proposed two storey rear and first floor side extension and associated alterations.
Appeal Decision: APPEAL ALLOWED	
<p>"... In this instance, my assessment is that whilst the existing dwelling would substantially increase in scale the proposed development would result in minimal change to the overall scene and the aesthetic character of the area. Policies 33, 45 and 48 of the Chichester Local Plan are pertinent. Taken together and amongst other matters they seek to protect the countryside, rural ambience and landscape qualities generally; and embody in development good quality design, suitable form and appropriate siting given context. I conclude that the scheme would not conflict with these policies. ... For the reasons given above I conclude that the appeal proposal would not have unacceptable adverse effects on the character and appearance of the locality. Accordingly, the appeal is allowed."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
22/01366/FUL	
<p>East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation</p>	<p>Land To Rear Of Co-Op Store Bracklesham Lane Bracklesham Bay West Sussex</p> <p>1 no. detached dwelling (plot 1).</p>
Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED	
<p>" The appeal is dismissed. ... The insular nature of the proposal and narrow proportions of the appeal site, constrained by the Co-op store's plant and delivery compound, has led to a contrived frontage design, requiring the use of an extended roof slope, single storey format and dog-legged front elevation. ... This layout would create a constrained and enclosed frontage for the new dwelling overwhelmed by hardstanding and dominated by the fencing surrounding the Co-op store's compound. ... the rear ... which would face Stock Lane, ... although not innovative nor taking the opportunity to create an active frontage, is unlikely to have a harmful impact on the lane's character. ... Nevertheless, the lack of harm to the character of Stock Lane does not negate the significant harm the contrived design of the new dwelling and the constrained layout of the site would have on the overall character and appearance of the area. ... The proposal would therefore significantly harm the character and appearance of the area. ... The proposal would also fail to comply with LP policy 39 as far as it seeks to ensure site layouts provide suitable internal circulation and turning arrangements, ... The proposed dwelling would be located to the rear of 7 and 8 Hale Close. ... I find that although the proposed dwelling would alter the views from the rear gardens of Nos 7 and 8 it would not be so constraining as to overwhelm those spaces. This is because it would not enclose the whole rear boundary of either garden. ... I do not consider the impact of the proposal to be so detrimental to the outlook from the gardens of Nos 7 and 8 to cause harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those properties. ... The appeal site falls within the zone of influence of the SPA and the proposal would create a new residential dwelling. ... This is a long-standing issue for the Council, so it has a standard appropriate assessment template for development of this nature. ... I am satisfied the proposal could comply with LP Policy 50 and the Framework as far as they seek to secure appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures for any likelihood of a significant effect on the SPA. ... The Council cannot demonstrate a 5-year housing land supply and so it is necessary for me to apply paragraph 11 of the Framework. The proposal would provide a net increase of 1 home in an accessible location, and the Framework seeks to make more efficient use of land in accessible locations. However, ... the small contribution that 1 dwelling would make to an identified housing need, the contribution to the Council's 5-year housing land supply would only attract limited weight. I can also only attribute limited weight to the proposed improvement the appellant considers the proposal would have on landscaping, flora, and fauna, as it has not been shown how this would be an improvement to the existing undeveloped nature of the site. ..."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
22/01367/FUL	
East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land To Rear Of Co-Op Store Bracklesham Lane Bracklesham Bay West Sussex 1 no. dwelling.
Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED	
<p>"... would ... fail to relate comfortably with the proposed dwelling which would be set away from it. The layout of this area would also appear contrived as it has been designed to accommodate a second dwelling, the subject of Appeal 3311069. ... the parking and bin storage ... would be some distance away and not convenient for future occupiers thus emphasising the awkward layout. In frontage, dominated by hardstanding and with limited space for meaningful landscaping. ... Therefore, by virtue of the layout and design of the access and parking I find the proposal to cause moderate harm to the character and appearance of the area. ... The proposal would also fail to comply with LP policy 39 as far as it seeks to ensure site layouts provide suitable internal circulation and turning arrangements, ... the location of the proposed new dwelling would be close to the shared boundary and due to its orientation, the proposed upper floor windows would have near unfettered views of the full length of both Juno's and Fabio's rear gardens including the area immediately to the rear of each dwelling. Such a reduction in actual and perceived privacy could have a significantly detrimental impact on the living conditions of the occupants of both Fabio and Juno. ... the proposal would fail to comply with LP Policy 33 ... The appeal site falls within the zone of influence of the SPA and the proposal would create a new residential dwelling. ... This is a long-standing issue for the Council, so it has a standard appropriate assessment template for development of this nature. ... I am satisfied the proposal could comply with LP Policy 50 and the Framework as far as they seek to secure appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures for any likelihood of a significant effect on the SPA. ... The Council ... cannot demonstrate a 5-year housing land supply and so it is necessary for me to apply paragraph 11 of the Framework. The proposal would provide a net increase of 1 home in an accessible location, and the Framework seeks to make more efficient use of land in accessible locations. However, ... the small contribution that 1 dwelling would make to an identified housing need, ... Council's 5-year housing land supply would only attract limited weight. I can also only attribute limited weight to the proposed improvement the appellant considers the proposal would have on landscaping, flora, and fauna, as it has not been shown how this would be an improvement to the existing undeveloped nature of the site. ..."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01283/FULEIA</u>	
Southbourne Parish Case Officer: Jane Thatcher	G And R Harris Main Road Nutbourne Chichester West Sussex PO18 8RL Demolition and mixed use development comprising 103 no. dwellings and a Childrens' Nursery, together with associated access, parking, landscaping (including provision of wildlife corridor) and associated works.
Appeal Decision: APPEAL ALLOWED	
<p>" The appeal site is close to the built-up areas of Nutbourne West and Southbourne and would not be remote from the services and facilities in Nutbourne West, Southbourne and the nearby larger settlements. There would at least be a choice to use accessible modes of transport to access local services and facilities and additional dwellings in this location would not significantly undermine the aim of CLP Policy 39 to minimise the need to travel and reduce car dependency as part of new development proposals across the district. In addition, the proposed development would be consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework) that seeks to actively manage patterns of growth to ensure that development is focused on sustainable locations (paragraphs 105 and 110). . . . in the context of CLP Policy 39 and paragraph 111 of the Framework, the predicted traffic and highway effects of the appeal scheme do not indicate to me that it should be refused. Consequently, subject to the imposition of suitably worded planning conditions and planning obligations to manage access and highway related details and promote sustainable transport, there is no conflict with the development plan or the Framework in this regard. . . . In addition, it would accord with the aims of the Framework that seeks to direct development away from the areas at highest risk of flooding, ensure it is made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere, incorporate sustainable drainage systems and would not contribute to unacceptable levels of water pollution (paragraphs 159, 169 and 174). . . . I consider that the appellant has adequately demonstrated that the proposed development would not adversely impact on foul water drainage, in accordance with the requirements of Policy 9 of the CLP that seeks, amongst other things, to ensure proposals provide the necessary infrastructure to support the development. In addition, it would accord with the aims of the Framework that seeks that development would not contribute to unacceptable levels of water pollution (paragraph 174). . . . The appeal proposal will generate additional demands on local infrastructure. Interested parties have raised concerns about the capacity of these local services to support such increased demands. However, the main parties have identified that the appropriate contributions from the appeal scheme toward appropriate infrastructure to support the development can be secured through the Council's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) scheme⁹. I am satisfied that the adopted CIL scheme would allow the Council to secure appropriate infrastructure mitigation to address this at an appropriate stage in the delivery of the appeal scheme. Moreover, there is no substantiated evidence before me to corroborate the interested parties' concerns about local infrastructure, including educational and healthcare capacity, to lead me to reject the main parties assessment on this matter. . . . Consequently, in the absence of harm there is no conflict with CLP Policy 9 or the Framework in these regards. However, as these contributions towards local infrastructure would be mitigation, they do not constitute material benefits. . . . During the Inquiry the Council provided an update on the proposed submission version of the emerging Chichester Local Plan Review (CLPR) outlining that it had been the subject of Regulation 19 public consultation in February/March 2023.</p>	

Appeal Decision Continued

Policy S2 of the CLPR identifies Southbourne as a Settlement Hub and allocates 1,050 dwellings to Southbourne under Policy H2 to be delivered within a 'Broad location of development', which includes part of the appeal site. Policy A13 sets out the overarching principles for development proposal within the Broad location of development. Policy NE4 of the CLPR identifies a strategic wildlife corridor along the Ham Brook Chalk Stream, which runs through the appeal site. However, as I do not have evidence before me as to the extent of unresolved objections to the policies in the CLPR and it has not yet been submitted for examination, having regard to the advice provided in Paragraph 48 of the Framework, I attribute limited weight to the policies in the CLPR. . . . The emerging modified Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan (ESPNP) was submitted to the Council in January 2023, subject to public consultation in March/April 2023 and an examiner was appointed in July 2023 to undertake an examination of the ESPNP. However, as I do not have evidence before me as to the extent of unresolved objections to the policies in the ESPNP and it has not yet fully undergone examination nor a referendum, having regard to the advice in the Framework¹⁰, I attach limited weight to the policies in the ESPNP. . . . Having regard to the ecological evidence submitted with the application, I am therefore satisfied that subject to the mitigation measures set out within it, which can be secured by planning conditions, the proposal would not have a detrimental impact on the biodiversity of the site, including protected species. I therefore find no conflict with Policies 49 and 50 of the CLP which together seek to protect local wildlife and to ensure that the effects of new development are appropriately mitigated. . . . I conclude that the proposed development would not have an unacceptable harmful effect on the character and appearance of the area, including the AONB. The development would accord with the overall aims of Policies 33, 43 and 48 of the CLP and Policy 4 of the Southbourne Parish Neighbourhood Plan 2015 which seek, amongst other things, to ensure development is of a high quality design that recognises distinctive local landscape character, including the AONB and respects and enhances the character of the surrounding area and its setting in the landscape. . . . overall, in my view, the adverse impacts arising from this development do not significantly and demonstrably outweigh the scheme's benefits. The proposal would therefore represent a sustainable form of development when assessed against the Framework read as a whole, which is a material consideration in favour of the development. The factors above collectively provide the material considerations to grant planning permission other than in accordance with the development plan in this specific case. . . . For the reasons given above, and having regard to all other matters raised, I conclude that the appeal should be allowed. "

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>19/00176/CONT</u>	
Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Shona Archer Fast Track Appeal	4 The Paddocks Common Road Hambrook Westbourne Chichester West Sussex PO18 8UP Appeal against Enforcement Notice WE/55 - removal of TPO'd trees without an application for tree works.
Appeal Decision: PART ALLOWED PART DISMISSED	
<p>“The appeal is allowed in part, and I direct that the TRN issued on 11 August 2021 relating to Land to the west of 4 The Paddocks, Common Road, Hambrook, Westbourne, Chichester, West Sussex, PO18 8UP, shall be subject to the following variations: Delete paragraph 4 and replace with 'plant a mix of Pine, Sycamore, Wild Cherry, Oak, Beech, Alder, Larch, Hawthorn, Ash and Field Maple trees. The trees shall be 8-10cm in girth (standard) at 3 metres spacing to fill the cross hatched area shown on the attached plan.' The date on which the TRN takes effect shall be the date of this decision and the time for compliance shall be nine months from the date of this decision... On the basis of the evidence before me and the grounds on which the appeal has been lodged, I consider that the main issues are: a) Whether the provision of the duty to replace trees under section 206 does not apply (ground a), and b) Whether the requirements of the TRN are unreasonable in respect of the size of the trees specified in it (ground b)... The appellant is of the view that the duty to replace the trees does not apply as the work carried out was for the clearing of trees that had fallen in a series of significant storms during April and May 2019. A storm event that cleared a whole area of trees to the extent that none remained would have been really significant. I note that adjacent areas of trees still stand, and there is nothing before me such as photographic evidence to show the state of destruction following the weather events. This is surprising given how dramatic it must have been... The TRN specifies that 'mature' trees are planted. This would imply that the replacement trees should be of a substantial size, and well beyond the 10cm girth of the trees that were removed, as referred to in the submissions. Additionally, the term 'mature' is not commonly used in tree specification. Therefore, the requirement of the TRN to replant mature trees is unreasonable. 12. A requirement to replant trees of 8-10cm girth would be a reasonable alternative. Specifying this size makes the requirements of the TRN more accurate and enforceable as a tree of this size is commonly referred to as a 'standard' with reference to the British Standard 3.13. Therefore, the appeal under ground (b) succeeds, and the TRN is varied to specify a smaller and more accurate size for the replacement trees...”</p>	

3. IN PROGRESS

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>* 21/01830/OUT</u>	
Birdham Parish Case Officer: Andrew Robbins	Land Off Main Road Birdham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7HU Outline planning application for up to 150 dwellings (including 30% affordable housing) with community park, public open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage system (SuDS) and vehicular access point. All matters reserved except for means of access.
<u>22/01164/FUL</u>	
Birdham Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Upper Creek End Westlands Lane Birdham West Sussex PO20 7HH Alterations to existing 2 no. flats to create 1 no. detached house and construction of 1 no. dwelling, detached garage and associated works
<u>* 21/00571/FUL</u>	
Bosham Parish Case Officer: Jeremy Bushell	Land North Of Highgrove Farm Main Road Bosham West Sussex Construction of 300 dwellings (including 90 affordable dwellings), community hall, public open space, associated works and 2 no. accesses from the A259 (one temporary for construction).
<u>22/02502/FUL</u>	
Bosham Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Land North Of Southfield House Delling Lane Bosham West Sussex PO18 8NN Change of use of poultry buildings to form 1 no. new dwelling, including partial demolition of existing garage, landscaping and associated works.
<u>20/00040/CONENG</u>	
Chichester Parish Case Officer: Mr Michael Coates-Evans Written Representation	Land North West Of Newbridge Farm Salthill Road Fishbourne West Sussex Appeal against CC/154
<u>* 21/02303/OUT</u>	
Chidham & Hambrook Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Caravan And Camping Site Orchard Farm Drift Lane Bosham Chichester West Sussex PO18 8PP Outline Application (with all matter reserved accept Access) for the demolition of caravan repair building, cessation of use of land for caravan storage and removal of hardstandings and erection of 1no 4bed, 3no 3 bed, 4no 2bed and 1no 1 bed bungalows.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01819/DOM</u>	
Donnington Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Fast Track Appeal	Herongate 53 Grosvenor Road Donnington PO19 8RT Demolition of existing garage and conservatory. New single storey rear extension and first floor extension and new roof.
<u>23/00770/DOM</u>	
Donnington Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Fast Track Appeal	Herongate 53 Grosvenor Road Donnington Chichester West Sussex PO19 8RT Demolition of existing garage and conservatory. New single storey rear extension. First floor extension and new roof.
<u>22/02539/DOM</u>	
Earnley Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Earnley Place Clappers Lane Earnley West Sussex PO20 7JL Removal of existing single storey extension along east elevation. Construction of single storey extension on north elevation and 2 no. single storey lean-to extensions on east Elevation, replacement link, internal alterations and fenestration changes. Alteration and repairs to existing garden wall and painting of entrance gates.
<u>22/02540/LBC</u>	
Earnley Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Earnley Place Clappers Lane Earnley West Sussex PO20 7JL Removal of existing single storey extension along east elevation. Construction of single storey extension on north elevation and 2 no. single storey lean-to extensions on east Elevation, replacement link, internal alterations and fenestration changes. Alteration and repairs to existing garden wall and painting of entrance gates.
<u>22/02662/FUL</u>	
Earnley Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Earnley Place Clappers Lane Earnley West Sussex PO20 7JL Demolition of existing pavilion outbuilding and erection of 1 no. dwelling with basement, detached garage and swimming pool. New vehicular access and associated works.
<u>22/02398/DOM</u>	
Hunston Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Bremere House Selsey Road Hunston West Sussex PO20 1AU Extension to existing double garage to form larger outbuilding with ancillary accommodation.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>21/02428/FUL</u>	
Linchmere Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land North Of 1 To 16 Sturt Avenue Camelsdale Linchmere West Sussex GU27 3SJ 9 no. new dwelling houses and 9 no. carports/studios with associated access, infrastructure, parking and landscaping.
<u>22/01593/FUL</u>	
Linchmere Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land North Of 1 To 16 Sturt Avenue Camelsdale Linchmere West Sussex GU27 3SJ New bridge access.
<u>19/01400/FUL</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Martin Mew Written Representation	Moores Cottage Loxwood Road Alfold Bars Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0QS Erection of a detached dwelling following demolition of free-standing garage.
<u>* 21/02849/FUL</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land South West Of Willets Way Willetts Way Loxwood West Sussex 5 no. residential dwellings, vehicular and pedestrian access and hard and soft landscaping.
<u>22/00470/PA3Q</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Mill House Farm Drungewick Lane Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0RS Proposed change of use from agricultural buildings to 4 dwellings - (C3 Use class); Class Q (a).
<u>22/00637/PA3Q</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Mill House Farm Drungewick Lane Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0RS Proposed change of use from agricultural building to 1 dwelling - (C3 Use class).
<u>22/01565/ELD</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Informal Hearings	Loxwood Farm Brewhurst Lane Loxwood West Sussex RH14 0RJ Existing lawful development use of land as garden curtilage.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/00185/CONENG</u>	
North Mundham Parish Case Officer: Sue Payne Written Representation	Land Adjacent To The Spinney Pagham Road Runcton West Sussex Appeal against NM/30
<u>22/01003/FUL</u>	
Oving Parish Case Officer: Joanne Prichard Written Representation	Littlemead Business Centre, S & R Interiors Limited Tangmere Road Tangmere West Sussex PO20 2EU Two storey rear extension employing class uses E(g)(iii) and B8 Ground Floor with ancillary offices on first floor mezzanine E(g)(i) plus PV to roof.
<u>21/01697/PA3Q</u>	
Plaistow And Ifold Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Written Representation	Premier Treecare & Conservation Ltd Oxencroft Ifold Bridge Lane Ifold Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0UJ Prior notification for the change of use of agricultural buildings to 1 no. dwelling (C3 Use Class) with alterations to fenestration.
<u>20/00414/CONHH</u>	
Plaistow And Ifold Parish Case Officer: Sue Payne Public Inquiry 19-Feb-2024 Chichester District Council East Pallant House PO19 1TY	Oxencroft Ifold Bridge Lane Ifold Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0UJ Appeal against Enforcement Notice PS/71.
<u>* 21/02895/FUL</u>	
Selsey Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	The Boulevard 3 New Parade High Street Selsey Chichester West Sussex PO20 0QA Retention of canopy to shopfront.
<u>22/01038/PA3Q</u>	
Sidlesham Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Butskiln Street End Road Sidlesham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7QD Change of use of agricultural building to form 1 no. dwelling (Use Class C3) and associated operational development.
<u>20/02077/FUL</u>	
Southbourne Parish Case Officer: Martin Mew Written Representation	Marina Farm Thorney Road Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8BZ Redevelopment of previously developed land. Removal of existing 5 no. buildings. Proposed 1 no. dwelling.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>19/00103/CONCOU</u>	
Southbourne Parish Case Officer: Mr Michael Coates-Evans Written Representation	Thornham Marina Thornham Lane Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8DD Appeal against SB/124
<u>22/02927/FUL</u>	
West Itchenor Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Sanderlings Spinney Lane Itchenor West Sussex PO20 7DJ Construction of tennis court (alternative to permission 21/03159/DOM).
<u>21/00051/FUL</u>	
Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	The Stables Cemetery Lane Woodmancote Westbourne PO10 8QB Increase number of permitted caravans from 1 no. static and 1 no. tourer to 2 no. static and 2 no. tourers and retention of stable block.
<u>23/00076/CONCOU</u>	
Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Andrew George Written Representation	Southleigh Park Estate The Woodlands Marlpit Lane Hambrook Westbourne Emsworth West Sussex PO10 8EQ Appeal against WE/60
<u>23/00076/CONCOU</u>	
Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Andrew George Written Representation	Southleigh Park Estate The Woodlands Marlpit Lane Hambrook Westbourne Emsworth West Sussex PO10 8EQ Appeal against WE/61

4. VARIATIONS TO SECTION 106 AGREEMENTS

5. CALLED-IN APPLICATIONS

Reference	Proposal	Stage

6. COURT AND OTHER MATTERS

Injunctions		
Site	Breach	Stage
Birdham Site	Of 4 Enforcement Notices	Contempt of court proceedings at the High Court. Next and final hearing on 20 & 21 December.

Court Hearings		
Site	Matter	Stage
Crouchlands, Lagoon	Of Enforcement Notice	Not guilty plea entered. Trial on 25 January 2024

Prosecutions		
Site	Breach	Stage
Land South of the Stables, Hambrook	Of Enforcement Notice	Matter adjourned previously due to planning application lodged. Permission refused. Hearing adjourned to 2 January for plea to be entered.
Farmfield Nurseries	Of Enforcement Notices x 2	Not Guilty plea entered. Trial date to be confirmed by the court as the current one clashes with another hearing.
82a Fletchers Lane	Of Enforcement Notice	No plea entered due to lack of court time and 2 of 3 defendants did not attend. Adjourned for all 3 to attend and plea to be entered on 28 November 2023.

7. POLICY MATTERS